# The Wide World of Methods

Kimberly Yousey, PhD. Associate Director, Assessment Programs StudentVoice kyouseyelsener@studentvoice.com 716-652-9400 press 1

# Goals of the program

- Introduction to data collection methods
- Provide reasons for using, pros/cons that can be used in decision making
- Resources for more information

# Quantitative and Qualitative

#### Quantitative:

- Works with numbers
- Goal is to take large amounts of data and find one voice
- Usually deals with the who, what, where type questions
  Created by Kim

#### Qualitative:

- Works with words
- Goal is to take smaller amounts of data and present individual voices
- Usually asks the why and how questions

### Surveys

- Type: Quantitative or Qualitative (open-ended questions)
- Design: Very specific way of asking questions
- Medium: paper or on-line
- Pros: lots of data relatively quickly and easily
- Cons: students are HIGHLY surveyed, response rates becoming a huge issue on campus, skills sets for analysis

# Previously Collected Data

- Type: Quantitative or Qualitative, examples: usage data, shared survey data, judicial data, etc.
- Design: none needed, just need to get access to data
- Medium: usually electronic
- Pros: no time needed to collect data, no worry about response rates
- Cons: reliant on reliability of source, nonresponsive in nature

#### Interviews

- Type: Qualitative (open-ended questions)
- Design: Very specific way of asking questions
- Medium: one-on-one conversations
- Pros: excellent source of finding voice in a topic, smaller number needed, individual contact, responsive in nature
- Cons: data collection more time consuming, need to be careful who are you are selecting, harder to recruit, bias in analysis

## Focus Groups

- Type: Qualitative (open-ended questions)
- Design: Very specific way of asking questions
- Medium: group conversations
- Pros: excellent source of finding voice in a topic, smaller number needed, group interaction, responsive in nature, students seem to like
- Cons: data collection more time consuming, harder to recruit, good facilitators/note takers, bias in analysis - Copyright 2007

# Visual Methods

- Captures images as a main form of data collection
- Examples: Pictures, x-rays, videos, art work, sculpture, etc.
- Common example: Photo Journaling http://www.usi.edu/depart/instires/air99 %20reflex/index.htm



 "When it rains or snows this is what we have to walk through to do our laundry or go to the convenient store." - Becky



"Psych/Forum class. Huge classroom; Dr. Donaldson, hilarious, uses microphone to teach class." - Lynn

#### Visual Methods

#### **Strengths**

More detail

Picture says a thousand words

High levels of student investment

Images captured for other uses

#### **Limitations**

Threat of alterations

Smaller number of perspectives

Time for implementation and follow-through

# Putting it into Practice: Visual Methods

 As a group, create an image that depicts the skills, knowledge, qualities, etc. that one needs to do assessment

### **Document Analysis**

- A form of qualitative research in which documents are used to give voice, interpretation and meaning
- Kinds of documents: flyers, magazines, agendas, blogs, emails, calendars, listservs, websites, student newspapers, papers written for a class, training manuals, handbooks, syllabi, annual reports, etc.

### Document Analysis Example:

- Do deaf students have different needs than hearing students?
  - Literature review
  - Time Use (staff Calendars)
  - Duty Logs
  - Incident Reports
  - Judicial cases
  - "Complex" cases

### **Document Analysis**

**Strengths** 

Available

Already "collected"

Low costs

Stable

**Precise** 

Quick timeline

**Limitations** 

Context and language specific

Not interactive

Not reactive

Disconnected from their creator

Lack objectivity

# Putting it into practice Document Analysis

- Group Exercise
- Brainstorm a list of documents you can use on your campus

#### Rubrics

- A set of criteria that describes different levels of achievement
- Meant to be descriptive in nature and give participants a guideline for a quality performance
- Examples: can be used anywhere there is a clear learning goal, or you are observing behaviors

# Rubric Example:

#### Reflective Paper

Criteria	Beginning	On Target	Exceed Expectations	Score
Personal and professional learning and growth	Reflection does not connect to personal needs and experiences and/or does not reflect an effort to seek out growth and experiences	Reflection identifies self- initiative in seeking experiences for personal and professional growth beyond requirements	Reflection analyzes areas for personal learning and growth that exceeds the requirements and shows evidence of collaborations with others in at least a beginning effort to obtain additional experiences	

(Maki, p. 122)

#### Rubric

#### **Strengths**

Clearly sets standards

Awareness of expectations

Consistency in grading

Gauge your own performance

#### **Limitations**

Excludes items not on rubric

Less flexible/harder to change mid-stream

Timeline – need to develop well ahead of time

# One Minute Assessments

- Very short assessments of what a participant is "taking away" from their experience
- Most often used in programming evaluations or classroom settings
- Examples: Minute papers (or e-mail minutes), one-sentence summary, muddiest point, word journal, direct paraphrasing, application cards, plus/delta cards, Quizdome (Health Center)

# One Minute Assessments

#### **Strengths**

Summary of key points from students' perspective

Quickly identify areas of weakness and strength

Track changes over time

Non-verbal

Use students words to describe programs and services

"One-minute" short time commitment

Immediate feedback

#### Limitations

Non-responsive/reactive

May lose specific information

Hard to interpret

Need to collect over time

Logistics of how/when to collect and compile need to be planned well and in advance

# One Minute Assessment Example

- RA programming
- "Please take 1 minute to let us know the most positive things you got out of this program"
- "Please take 1 minute to let us know the least helpful part of this program"

# Other Alternatives to Consider:

- Historical Research
- Unobtrusive Measures
- Portfolios
- Secondary Measures
- Observations
- Mixed Methods

## One final go-around

Look at your questions or outcomes from this morning, brainstorm in small groups different methods you could use to examine them, think big and think about how different ways of collecting data will yield different results

# Sources of Inspiration and Instruction:

- Huba, M.E. & Freed, J.E. (2000). Learner-centered assessment on college campuses: Shifting focus from teaching to learning. Needham Heights, MA: Allyn and Bacon
- Maki, P.L. (2004). Assessing for learning: Building a sustainable commitment across the institution. Sterling, VA: Stylus
- Palomba, C.A. & Banta, T.W. (1999). Assessment essentials: Planning, implementing and improving assessment in higher education. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass
- Stage, F.K. and Manning, K. (2003). Research in the college context: Approaches and methods. New York: Brunner-Routledge.
- Stage, F. (1992). Diverse methods for research and assessment of college students. Alexandria, VA: American College Personnel Association.

#### Questions??